
September 13, 2023

Agenda Item 7.b.

Planning for 2024 Affordable Housing Bond Measure

Subject:

Continued discussion regarding BAHFA’s Regional Housing Revenue Allocation Options – “Step 2” Decision Points

Background:

On June 16th and June 22nd, 2022, the ABAG Executive Board and the BAHFA Board (“Boards”), respectively, requested that the BAHFA Oversight Committee and ABAG Housing Committee (“Joint Housing Committees”) undertake preparatory work necessary to enable consideration of an affordable housing general obligation bond measure in November 2024 (“2024 Ballot Measure”). Specifically, the Boards directed staff to explore a \$10-20 billion bond pursuant to the authority granted to BAHFA and ABAG in the San Francisco Bay Area Regional Housing Finance Act (Gov. Code § 64500, et seq.) (the “Act”).

On June 22, 2023, the BAHFA Advisory Committee received a report about the next phase of preparation for the 2024 Ballot Measure, including a set of “Step 1” policy decisions about BAHFA’s expenditure plan for regional housing revenue (“Regional Expenditure Plan”). In July, staff presented the same report to the Joint Housing Committees (July 12), the ABAG Executive Board (July 20), and the BAHFA Board (July 26). The Regional Expenditure Plan establishes an investment framework to guide the 20% of funds retained by BAHFA from the 2024 Ballot Measure. Investment priorities for the 80% of funds directly administered by the counties and several cities will be set by separate expenditure plans developed by the local governments and are not subject to the Regional Expenditure Plan.

The Regional Expenditure Plan “Step 1” decision points and corresponding staff recommendations included:

1. 1st Regional Expenditure Plan Programming: Given the fluidity of market conditions and other external factors, staff recommended limiting most regional revenue programming decisions to the first issuance of bonds only. Staff anticipate 3-5 issuances, incorporating lessons learned and adjusting for changed circumstances when recommending expenditure priorities for each successive issuance.
2. Flexible Funds: Due to the region’s severe housing shortage and the corresponding scale and urgency to create new homes, staff recommended allocating the 18% of “flexible funds” from the first bond issuance towards Production (as opposed to Preservation).
3. Production Priorities and Collaboration: To implement BAHFA’s Equity Framework and to underscore BAHFA’s collaborative (as opposed to competitive) relationship with local governments, staff recommended a three-point prioritization strategy for earmarked Production funds from the first bond issuance:

September 13, 2023

Agenda Item 7.b.

Planning for 2024 Affordable Housing Bond Measure

- a. *First:* Prioritize permanent supportive housing (PSH) and extremely low-income (ELI) new construction investments whenever possible, but only in collaboration with the local government and subject to the availability of permanent operating subsidies and services funding.
- b. *Second:* Prioritize low-income housing development that does not require tax-exempt bonds (from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee, “CDLAC”) and tax credit funding if this funding is over-subscribed.
- c. *Third:* Retain flexibility in funding approaches. If CDLAC and tax credit funding are readily available, BAHFA investments should rely on those sources of funds to make BAHFA resources go as far as possible.

“Step 2” Decision Points and Recommendations:

This report focuses on “Step 2” decision points:

1. Geographic Disbursement for Production Funds: How can BAHFA disburse regional housing revenue for new housing production to meet BAHFA’s Equity Framework and Funding Program goals while also achieving appropriate geographic balance?
2. Preservation Expenditure Priorities: For the 15% of funds that must be set aside for preservation, which strategies will best achieve BAHFA’s anti-displacement goals and meet the needs of historically disinvested communities?
3. Local Government Grant Program: For the 10% of funds that can be set aside for a local government grant program, which eligible uses should be prioritized?

Staff’s recommendations for these decision points are informed by more than a year of extensive engagement with stakeholders and practitioners throughout the region, a thorough analysis of the current housing finance landscape, and research into pathways for BAHFA to advance housing equity across the Bay Area.

For the “Step 2” decision points, staff recommendations are as follows:

1. Geographic Disbursement for Production Funds: **Staff recommend establishing county-level investment goals for BAHFA’s total Production funds over the life of the bond and disbursement according to a “return to source” framework. That is, BAHFA’s per-county investment targets will be based on each county’s tax contribution to the bond.**

This recommended model was one of many staff considered, including distribution by:

- Affordable Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) obligations
- Poverty rates
- Homeless point-in-time counts
- Population

September 13, 2023

Agenda Item 7.b.

Planning for 2024 Affordable Housing Bond Measure

Each method carries pros and cons. However, the return-to-source model offered benefits that staff believe outweigh the benefits afforded by other models, as follows:

- Each county is “made whole” for its tax contribution;
- Administration of the funding and articulation of the policy are relatively straightforward;
- The perception of “winners and losers” that arises when tax contributions are redistributed among the region is eliminated; and
- Each county receives a meaningful investment value from BAHFA, which was not the case with alternative models.

For comparison purposes, Attachment A provides regional Production investment targets for both the return-to-source model and distribution by affordable RHNA.

This recommendation differs from the other Step 1 and Step 2 decisions in that it relates to total bond amount, not just the first bond issuance. This approach provides BAHFA with the flexibility to make the most strategic and high-impact investments based on the current pipeline of projects while also committing to geographic balance in the long run. Taking the long-term view of regional funding disbursements also allows BAHFA to be opportunistic and direct money where it will be most immediately effective. The alternative – to tie BAHFA to established quotas for each county *in each bond issuance* could slow down spending, delay investments in shovel-ready projects, and potentially perpetuate inefficiencies in the existing finance system by requiring small BAHFA investments to layer on an already complex project finance stack. In the recommended approach, BAHFA will actively monitor and report on its performance against the geographic goals and tailor future funding rounds to achieve the overall targets. For example, if a county underperforms in the first issuance, BAHFA could give that county’s projects top-tier priority or a set-aside from the second issuance.

September 13, 2023

Agenda Item 7.b.

Planning for 2024 Affordable Housing Bond Measure

The table below shows the potential investment goals for each county as a share of BAHFA’s regional Production funds:

County	BAHFA Production Distribution: “Return to Source”
Alameda	17.1%
Contra Costa	11.6%
Marin	4.4%
Napa	2.2%
San Francisco	15.2%
San Mateo	13.1%
Santa Clara	28.3%
Solano	3.1%
Sonoma	5.0%

The return-to-source target values for BAHFA’s Production funds for each county are provided on Slide 8 in Attachment B. Note both percentages and funding values are based on recent tax rolls and may change depending on future tax rolls.

2. **Preservation Expenditure Priorities: Staff recommend prioritizing the first tranche of regional Preservation funds with four criteria:**
 - a. *Anti-Displacement Best Practices:* Within the Notice of Funding Availability (“NOFA”), BAHFA will incorporate deal terms that draw upon the best practices learned from the Preservation Pilot Program (funded by REAP 2.0). Staff anticipate that this will include caps on rent increases and eviction protections (regardless of whether a building is subject to a local rent stabilization/just cause ordinance).
 - b. *Prioritize Historically Disinvested Areas:* Like the Preservation Pilot Program, this will include Equity Priority Communities, Displacement Risk Areas, and Low/Moderate Resource Areas, while ensuring that all counties contain some prioritized geographies.
 - c. *Prioritize Investments to Protect Tenants at Immediate Risk of Eviction or Affordability Loss:* Establish additional criteria to prioritize projects with the highest acuity of displacement risk. E.g., buildings subject to Ellis Act evictions, projects with imminent affordability restriction expirations, properties with indicia of high displacement risk.
 - d. *Support Community Controlled Models:* establish a 20% target (approx. \$12 million) for community land trusts, community-based organizations, and co-ops, with a preference for projects that enable tenant wealth-building. BAHFA will develop a separate funding application process for these projects (though they may also compete for the full amount of funding available). If an insufficient

September 13, 2023

Agenda Item 7.b.

 Planning for 2024 Affordable Housing Bond Measure

number of projects apply for funding, BAHFA will pivot funding to other prioritized Preservation projects and evaluate the reasons for undersubscription to inform future funding rounds.

The priority criteria above are additive to the threshold requirements in the Act. (See Cal. Gov. Code Section 64650(b)(2)(A)). The threshold requirements will operate as minimum standards that must be satisfied by all Preservation projects seeking BAHFA funding and include: (i) a guarantee that existing residents of any acquired building shall not be displaced because of BAHFA funds, even if the existing residents do not meet income qualifications, and (ii) a guarantee that acquired buildings will achieve 100% occupancy by low- and moderate-income households over time through unit turnover. Additionally, if rehabilitation or demolition is required, the following conditions must be met: (i) no net loss of units by income level, (ii) relocation benefits must be provided to any tenants temporarily displaced, and (iii) temporarily relocated residents have a right of first refusal on the rehabbed/new units at an affordable rent.

3. **Local Government Grant Program: Staff recommend a twofold approach to the first tranche of funds:**
 - a. *First*: Prioritize housing-related amenities that enhance benefits for the overall community as well as the residents of affordable housing. This can include parks, infrastructure, school improvements, etc. The need for such amenities is often an obstacle to delivering new affordable housing, especially since they otherwise often have very limited funding options.
 - b. *Second*: Retain flexibility to invest in housing programs that directly serve households, especially if general obligation bond rules change to enable non-capital expenditures.

Additionally, staff recommend a goal to fund at least one project in each county over the life of the bond. Given the amount of available funding in each bond issuance and the scale of need from potential applicants, it would not be practical to thinly spread each tranche in all counties.

The staff recommendations are bounded by the statutory and constitutional limits for a Local Government Grant Program. The Act limits the uses of any such program to those that “support housing and related uses” and provides a non-exhaustive list of examples including technical assistance, infrastructure, homelessness alleviation, homeownership, and tenant protections. However, the California Constitution currently limits the eligibility of general obligation bond funds to the acquisition and improvement of real property, which precludes many of the potential uses listed in the Act. As recommended above, should the constitutional limits change, BAHFA could incorporate other priorities that suffer from chronic underinvestment (such as operating/services subsidies for permanent supportive housing as well as technical assistance).

September 13, 2023

Agenda Item 7.b.

Planning for 2024 Affordable Housing Bond Measure

Next Steps and Decision-Making Timeline

Subject to direction from the Advisory Committee, the Joint Housing Committees and the Boards, staff anticipate scheduling agenda items on all key regional funding decision points, as follows:

September 2023: Informational Items for Joint Housing Committees, ABAG Executive Board, and BAHFA Board

- Geographic distribution of regional housing revenue for Production
- Preservation program priorities
- 10% Local Government Grant Program priorities

October-December 2023

- Further Board guidance requested for BAHFA’s Initial Funding Priorities (Steps 1 & 2 decision points)
- Adoption of Business Plan Equity Framework & Funding Programs; informational presentation on draft operations and sustainability plan
- Protection program priorities (if Protection funding may be available per potential proposed Constitutional Amendment)

January – May 2024

- Approval of BAHFA’s Business Plan, Regional Expenditure Plan and Resolution to submit the 2024 Ballot Measure to the voters in all nine counties.

Post-Bond (2025)

- Loan Term Sheets and Underwriting Guidelines, including developer preferences

Issues:

None

September 13, 2023

Agenda Item 7.b.

Planning for 2024 Affordable Housing Bond Measure

Recommended Action:

Information

Attachments:

- A. Comparison of Production Investment Target Models
- B. Presentation

Reviewed:



Andrew Fremier